(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)
		<u>.</u>
	•	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	record of the substance of the ary by the examiner appears in F	interview, since the interview Part II above.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	record of the substance of the he examiner will provide a writte	interview, since the interview en summary of the substance
See Continuation Sheet Part III.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Prior art documents discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 1		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part I.		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli	cant's representative)	
Date of Interview: <u>6 September 2006</u>	Time:	
(2)	(4)	
(1) <u>Greg Bengzon</u> .	(3) <u>Grant Rowan, Reg.N</u>	<u>/o. 41278</u> .
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
	Greg Bengzon	2144
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	09/987,632	NISHIYAMA, SUMIO
	Application No.	Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon request by Examiner Bengzon, applicant representative provided support in specifications for claim amendments regarding 'wherein the plurality of data files do not overlap with each other'. Cited portions include (Fig. 3; page 15, lines 12-22; page 21, line 24, to page 22, line 4) and (Fig. 3; page 15, line 23, to page 17, line 6).